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ABSTRACT: The mechanical properties of glassy polymer thin films change as film thickness decreases below the average polymer molecule size. These changes have been associated with a reduction in interchain entanglements due to confinement and an increase in molecular mobility from the mobile surface layer. Here, using experiments and simulations, we determine how entanglements and surface mobility each individually impact the failure behavior of a glassy polymer film as the film becomes confined. We utilize a custom-built uniaxial tensile tester for ultrathin films and dark-field optical microscopy to characterize the complete stress–strain response and the associated strain localizations for ultrathin polystyrene films of varying thicknesses (of molecular weights \( M \)).

INTRODUCTION

Glassy polymers thin films are ubiquitous in industrial applications, from flexible electronics to filtration membranes due to their ability to dissipate high stresses at low temperatures and flow at higher temperatures. However, in films with thicknesses below the average molecule size, polymers become extremely brittle, making ultrathin polymer films nearly unusable in many applications. Currently, to achieve mechanically robust ultrathin polymer films required for many technologies, an arbitrary minimum film thickness limit is selected. Thus, technological advancements for thin-film applications are hindered due to the lack of fundamental knowledge on how to rationally design mechanically stable ultrathin glassy polymer films.

In bulk glassy polymers, there are two primary effects that impact their mechanical strength and stability: entanglements between chains and chain mobility.\(^1\) For entanglements, the chain stiffness, contour length, and number average molecular weight, \( M_n \), determine the nature of the entanglement network.\(^2\) Previously, Mikos and Peppas developed a scaling linking the nature of the entanglement network to strength and toughness of polymer glasses.\(^3\) For polydisperser polymers, they predicted the strength and toughness scales \( \exp(-2M_c/M_n) \), where \( M_c \) is the chain length between entanglements. In essence, the scaling suggests that below a critical molecular weight, there are more chain ends and fewer entanglements in the polymer network leading to a decrease in strength and toughness. Recent work has extended this scaling to blends of short and long chains of glassy polymers in thin films with a fixed film thickness and showed that not all entanglements contribute to the mechanical integrity of the network.\(^4\)

However, applying this scaling to homopolymer ultrathin films has yet to be explored due to the nature of the molecular entanglement network of ultrathin polymer films changing from the bulk state.

Specifically, in the ultrathin film state, when the film thickness decreases below the average configurational size of a polymer molecule, polymer chains at the boundary (surface) are reflected such that the polymer molecules at the interface become less entangled than the bulk molecules.\(^5\) This change suggests that at a critical film thickness, there is a reduction in interchain entanglements. Previous simulations have confirmed that the number of entanglements decreases and the entanglement molecular weight increases in the ultrathin state.\(^6,7\) From experiments, researchers have inferred a larger magnitude reduction in the number of entanglements compared to simulations.\(^8,9\) The loss of
strength and toughness in ultrathin polymer glasses has been previously associated with thickness-induced changes in entanglements. However, there is lack of agreement between simulations and experiments at what film thickness the reduction in entanglements is high enough to impact the mechanical strength. Therefore, an open question that remains is at what film thickness does the loss in mechanical integrity in the ultrathin state occur.

Additionally, chain mobility has been shown to affect mechanical strength in classical bulk studies. It is well-known that the mechanical strength and toughness decreases as the temperature approaches the glass-transition temperature, \( T_g \), such that segmental mobility increases. The relationship between mobility and mechanical strength further complicates the understanding of the decrease in mechanical integrity in the ultrathin state as the average molecular mobility can change as the film thickness decreases. At a free surface, for polystyrene (PS), the polymer molecules have a higher relative mobility than the bulk, and this increase is often measured as a depression in the glass-transition temperature. The impact of this increase in average molecular mobility on failure strength has been previously not addressed directly in past experimental studies on the mechanics of ultrathin polymer films. Therefore, knowledge-based strategies to increase the failure strength of ultrathin films have been limited by the lack of understanding of how both the decrease in entanglements and increase in average molecular mobility contribute to the changes in failure properties. As such, despite the growth of techniques to measure the mechanical properties of ultrathin polymer films, a model has yet to be developed that quantitively predicts how the mechanical strength and toughness change as film thickness decreases.

To understand how thickness impacts the failure properties of ultrathin polymer films and to use this knowledge to develop a model, it is necessary to measure and simulate how mechanical properties change with decreasing thickness and molecular weight. We utilize the model system PS as it is well characterized both in the bulk and the ultrathin states. We directly measure the uniaxial stress–strain response using The Uniaxial Tensile Tester for UltraThin films (TUTTUT) and observe in the situ strain localization of PS thin films with thicknesses, \( h \), of 10 to 150 nm and \( M_e \) of 61 to 2135 kDa, where \( M_e \) is 18.1 kDa. We use nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to directly correlate the changes in the molecular network to changes in the failure properties of ultrathin films with number of monomers, \( N = 250 \), \( N = 60 \), with \( h = 10 \) to 30, where the typical number of monomers between entanglements (\( N_e \)) is around 16. All simulations values are in reduced units scaled by mass, van der Waals energy, and size of the polymer monomer (methods provided in the Supporting Information). We develop strong direct experimental evidence that film thickness and number of entanglements per chain both control the embrittlement of ultrathin polymer films. From our results, we propose a semiempirical model that captures the role of both the entanglement reduction and increased mobility on the failure properties of glassy polymer thin films in both experiments and simulations. The model can be applied to determine the minimum molecular weight required to fabricate mechanically strong ultrathin glassy PS films for industrial applications.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Thin-Film Experiments and Simulations.** To decouple how thickness and molecular weight change the entanglement network, we examine how the experimentally measured mechanical response of three different molecular-weight PS films changes as the film thickness decreases. Representative experimental stress–strain curves for 127, 553, and 876 kDa PS are shown in Figures 1a, and S2. For all three molecular weights, we observe an initial linear elastic stress–strain behavior followed by yield response. The yield stress and failure stress decrease as the film thickness decreases below a critical thickness, where the critical thickness depends on the molecular weight (Figures 1c and S3). For all three molecular weights of PS, the decrease in yield stress occurs as thickness decreases below \( \sim 30.0 \) nm.

Similar to experimental stress–strain responses, in the simulations we observe an initial linear elastic response for film thickness and molecular weights measured (Figure 1b). However, a more ductile response is observed at higher strains in the simulations. This discrepancy was recently addressed by Bukowski et al., who demonstrated a quantitative link between changes in yield stress in the experiments to the toughness with varying entanglement networks, and the differences in ductility were rationalized through differences in the molecular friction and finite size effects in the network.
simulations. In the simulations, the measured toughness decreases as the film thickness decreases below $h = 20$, and $N = 250$ films are tougher than the $N = 60$ films at the same thicknesses (Figure 1d).

Additionally, we optically observe change in the failure mechanism as film thickness decreases for all three molecular weights (Figure 2a). The two failure mechanisms are crazing and shear deformation zones (SDZs). Crazes are nanofibrillated zones that are formed perpendicular to the primary stress direction, and SDZs are shear bands that are formed at the primary stress direction. We observe a weak molecular weight dependence on the transition from crazing to SDZs (Figure 2b).
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**Figure 2.** (a) Dark-field optical microscopy images of the films after failure for seven films (scale bars are 500 nm). (Top row) crazes form in 30 nm thick 127 kDa PS, 24.3 nm thick 553 kDa PS, and 19.2 nm thick 876 kDa PS. (Middle row) mixture of craze and SDZs form in 18.7 nm thick 553 kDa PS. (Bottom row) SDZs form in 20 nm thick 127 kDa PS, 10.7 nm thick 553 kDa PS, and 15.8 nm thick 876 kDa PS. The 127 kDa 30 nm film image is inverted to enhance the contrast between craze and film. Both images of the 127 kDa films are reprinted in part with permission from *Macromolecules* 2018, 51, 10, 3657–3653. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (b) Phase diagram as function of film thickness $h$ and number average molecular weight $M_n$. Red filled squares are film thicknesses where crazes form. Blue open squares are the film thicknesses where SDZs form. Purple squares are the film thicknesses where a mixture of crazes and SDZs form.厚度 where SDZs and crazes form for each molecular weight (Figure 2b). For 30 nm thick films, all three molecular weights of PS exhibit crazing. Through decreasing the thickness by 10 nm, we detect a molecular dependence on the strain localization morphology. At $\approx 19 \pm 1$ nm thick films, we observe only crazes for 876 kDa PS, mixtures of crazes and SDZs for 553 kDa PS, and only SDZ for 127 kDa PS. Below 19 nm, we observe only SDZ in 876 and 553 kDa at 15 and 10.5 nm, respectively. The thickness transition from crazing to SDZ has been previously associated with an increase in average molecular mobility from the mobile surface layer. However, we observe the transition from crazing to SDZ in thinner films as molecular weight increases, suggesting that the entanglement network could be playing a role.

Previous work has shown that changing the nature of the entanglement network can impact the yield and failure stress of glassy polymers. Researchers hypothesized that the drop in yield stress of the 127 kDa PS films has been associated with the loss of interchain entanglements as the film thickness decreased below the average polymer molecule size (radius end-to-end distance, $R_{ee}$), where the average polymer molecule size scales with molecular weight, $R_{ee} \sim M_n^{1/2}$. Thus, as molecular weight increases, the thickness at which the polymer becomes confined increases, suggesting that the drop in yield stress would be observed in thicker films at higher molecular weights. For all three molecular weights probed, critical thickness where the yield stress drop occurs is at similar thicknesses, $h < 25$ nm, which for 553 and 876 kDa PS is below their respective molecular sizes ($R_{ee} \sim 49$ and $R_{ee} \sim 61$ nm). Interestingly, the film thicknesses where we measure lower yield stress are at similar thickness where we observe SDZs, which indicates that the thickness-induced changes in molecular mobility are also impacting the yield and failure stress. In further support, previous studies on the bulk glassy PS have observed a decrease in yield and failure stress by increasing molecular mobility through increasing temperature.26–29

**Semiempirical Model.** To understand the molecular weight and thickness dependence on the yield stress and toughness, we independently determine the impact of entanglements and mobility, by performing additional experiments on PS films at a fixed thickness with varying molecular weights and simulations on polymer films at a fixed thickness with varying temperatures. Furthermore, we review previous work on how strength and toughness in bulk glassy polymer change with the number of entanglements and mobility, and how entanglements and mobility change as a polymer film becomes confined. We show that a failure model that only accounts for changes in entanglements or mobility does not capture the results from the experiments and simulations. Therefore, we develop a semiempirical model that accounts for both the changes in entanglements and mobility.

First, to establish an independent baseline of the effect of number of entanglements per chain, $Z = M_n/M_0$ on the failure properties of thin films, we experimentally measure the
stress—strain response of 150 nm thick PS films with molecular weights ranging from 61 to 2135 kDa (Figure S4). We observe a large drop in $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ as $M_g$ decreases from 98 kDa PS to 61 kDa PS. We attribute this transition to a decrease in the number of entanglements per chain, $(Z)$ from 5 to 3. Previous work suggested that a critical number of entanglements is necessary to form stable crazes in glassy polymer films.\(^{18,36,37}\) This drop in yield and failure stress has been previously observed near the same molecular weight for bulk PS.\(^{38-42}\) Kramer and coworkers also observed brittle behavior for $M_g < 58$ kDa in PS thin films ($h \sim 400$ nm).\(^{39}\) Also, Mikos and Peppas established a model that captured how varying entanglement per chain through molecular weight impacts failure stress, $\sigma_f(M_g) = \sigma_{0e} e^{-(2/Z_e)}$, where $\sigma_{0e}$ is the failure stress of a polymer with infinite long chains at a specific testing temperature.\(^{43}\) While this model works for changes in molecular weight, Bukowski, et al. found that it fails when the entanglement network is diluted through the blending short chains into long chains. They associated the lack of agreement between the Mikos and Peppas model and their results that not all of the entanglements in the entanglement network contribute to the load bearing network. Thus, they introduced the modified Mikos and Peppas model for yield stress, $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ and toughness, $\Gamma$ to be $\frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{\sigma_{0e}} = (1 - \frac{\Gamma_0}{\Gamma_{ee}}) e^{-(2/Z_{ee})} + \frac{\Gamma_0}{\Gamma_{ee}}$ and $\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{ee}} = (1 - \frac{\Gamma_0}{\Gamma_{ee}}) e^{-(2/Z_{ee})} + \frac{\Gamma_0}{\Gamma_{ee}}$. This model accounts for the yield stress and toughness both of infinitely long chains ($\sigma_{0e}$, $\Gamma_{ee}$) and assumes non-zero strength for short chains where no load bearing entanglements occur ($\sigma_0$, $\Gamma_0$). Additionally, the entanglements counted in the model are the entanglements in the center of one chain whose partner is not a chain end, $(Z_{ee})$. In our bulk polymer films, we have only long chains and no short chain diluents in our films, $(Z_{ee}) = ([Z] - 2)^2/\langle Z \rangle$. Our results from our fixed thickness study are consistent with the modified Mikos and Peppas model (Figure S4).

However, applying the modified Mikos and Peppas model directly to films when the film thickness decreases below the average size of a polymer molecule becomes ill-defined due to the nature of the entanglement network being altered at these film thicknesses.\(^{18,20,21,30,31}\) Specifically, from the de Gennes and Silberberg scaling argument as a polymer becomes confined the polymer chains become more entangled with themselves (intrachain entanglements) than that with neighboring chains (interchain entanglements).\(^{16,17}\) Decreasing interchain entanglements increases that entanglement molecular weight, $M_g$.\(^{20,30}\) The reduction in interchain entanglements and increase in entanglement molecular weight have been experimentally inferred, modeled, and simulated.\(^{18,20,30,41-44}\) From entanglement molecular weight that has been experimentally inferred, researchers have shown the change in entanglements with the confinement ratio, $h/R_{cc}$, where $R_{cc}$ is the average size of a polymer molecule, $R_{cc}$.\(^{20,21}\) Here, we utilize the findings of Wang et al., who measured the disentanglement time to calculate entanglement molecular weight and found for PS thin films that entanglement molecular weight increases for the film thickness decreased below $h = 1.3R_{cc}$ for four different molecular weights.\(^{21}\) They empirically fit their data with a power law scaling and found $M_{g,\text{bulk}}/M_g(h) = (h/R_{cc})^{-0.86}$ when $h \leq 1.3 R_{cc}$.

\[
Z(h) = \frac{M_n}{M_g(h)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{M_o}{M_{g,\text{bulk}}} & h \leq 1.3R_{ee} \\
\frac{M_o}{M_{g,\text{bulk}}} & h > 1.3R_{ee}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(1)

In our fitting, $a$ and $b$ are empirical constants calculated either from the empirical fit of the Wang et al. PS data for the experiments or from an empirical fit to the measured number of entanglements in the simulations (Figure S5). Using the empirical fit from the disentanglement times, we replot our experimental and simulation results as a function of the average number of entanglements in the system and compare them to the modified Mikos and Peppas model (Figure S6a,b).

If the entanglements were the only aspects impacting the change in the failure properties, the results would collapse onto a single curve. As shown in Figure S6a,b, we do not observe a collapse in the experimental or simulation data; thus, only accounting entanglements changing does not adequately describe the simulation or experimental results. This finding suggests that, unlike the previous hypothesis, the entanglement network being altered is not the only mechanism impacting the failure properties of thin films.

Beyond entanglements, strength and toughness are also dependent on the molecular mobility of the polymer. Explicitly, strength linearly decreases as molecular mobility increases.\(^{26-29}\) In the bulk, mobility can be increased by increasing the environmental temperature, $T$, whereas in the ultrathin state, the average molecular mobility increases as film thickness decreases at a fixed temperature.\(^{16,30-32}\) We note that at low temperatures below the typical operating regime of glassy polymers ($T < 227$ K), the strength has been shown to plateau and become constant with decreasing temperature.\(^{29}\)

To establish the independent baseline on the impact of mobility on the failure of glassy polymers, we perform simulations on thin films with thicknesses of $h = 30$ and molecular weight of $N = 250$ at a range of temperatures, where the $T - T_g$ range is between $-250$ and 35 K (Figure S7). The simulations units here are converted to Kelvin for ease of comparison to experiments by multiplying by a factor of $T_g^{\text{bulk experiments}}/T_g^{\text{bulk simulations}} \sim 624$ K/reduced Lennard-Jones (LJ) units, where we assume the $T_g^{\text{bulk simulations}}$ in reduced LJ units measured from simulations is comparable to the $T_g^{\text{bulk experiments}}$ in real units measured for bulk PS. At $T - T_g < -100$ K, the toughness is constant as temperature decreases similar to strength measurements from previous experiments in the literature.\(^{29}\) As the testing temperature increases, when $T - T_g > -100$ K, the toughness decreases linearly with temperature at temperatures less than glass-transition temperature, $T < T_g$, where previous models for failure and strength properties of bulk polymer glasses only accounted for entanglements and did not account for changes in mobility as it is constant at a fixed temperature in the bulk. However, in the thin-film case, mobility can change at fixed temperatures. In PS ultrathin films, the change in mobility is often measured and modeled as a depression in the $T_g$.\(^{37,43-51}\) For $T_g(h)$, previous work has developed an empirical relationship between the depression in $T_g$ and film thickness expressed as
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where \( c = 3.2 \) nm for supported PS films and \( c = 7.8 \) nm for freestanding PS films.\(^{37,51}\) To select a \( c \) value for experiments, we consider that the PS films are supported on a water surface. We use \( c = 3.2 \) for supported films as the thin films measured have one free surface and we do not know the impact of water on the surface mobility of PS. However, we do note that previous work has shown no difference in the yield stress between freestanding films and water-supported films.\(^{25,52}\) For simulations, we use \( c = 0.9 \), where the glass-transition temperature was quantified to calculate \( c \). Using eq 2 to calculate \( T_g(h) \), we replotted the strength and toughness data as a function of \( T - T_g(h) \). If the changes in mobility were only impacting the change in the failure properties, we expect the data would collapse on linear scaling from the bulk literature and simulations at high molecular weight and thicknesses. However, similar to the above argument around entanglements, we did not observe a collapse onto a linear scaling. Thus, only accounting for mobility changing does not describe the failure properties of ultrathin films. Therefore, both the impact of mobility and entanglements need to be accounted for the changes in the failure properties.

Since neither changes in mobility nor changes in entanglements alone can capture the data, we further modify the Mikos and Peppas model to now account for the contribution in thickness-induced changes in both entanglements, \( Z_{eq}(h) \), and mobility, \( T_g(h) \) (eq 1). First, we account for how the long bearing chains \( (Z_{eq}) \rightarrow (Z_{eq}(h)) \) changes with film thickness using eq 1, where \( a = 0.702 \) and \( b = 0.456 \) for experiments from the empirical fit of previous data, and \( a = 1.091 \), \( b = 0.924 \) for the simulations from fitting to directly measured change in \( Z \). Second, we allow both the maximum strength and toughness \( (\sigma_{\infty}, \Gamma_{\infty}) \) and single entanglement strength and toughness \( (\sigma_0, \Gamma_0) \) to be dependent on molecular mobility. From both previous literature data and our simulations, we expect both quantities to scale linearly as a function of \( T - T_g(h) \). The modified model is given by

\[
\sigma_{\text{max}}(M_w, h, T) = \sigma(T - T_g(h)) + \beta e^\left(-2/\langle Z_{eq}(h) \rangle \right) 
\]

for the experimental strength and

\[
\Gamma(M_w, h, T) = \sigma(T - T_g(h)) + \beta e^\left(-2/\langle Z_{eq}(h) \rangle \right) 
\]

for the simulated toughness. Here, \( \alpha \) is an empirical constant from a linear fit to the literature data and from our simulations performed at different temperatures where \( \alpha = -0.31 \) MPa/°C and \( \alpha = -0.46 \) (Figures S7 and S8). \( \beta \) is a fitting parameter calculated for each molecular weight, and the values are provided in Table S3. The thickness-dependent glass-transition temperature is calculated with eq 2. In Figure S9, we check the sensitivity of the model to the values of \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( c \) and find that the model is qualitatively the same though some tradeoffs between the three parameters. Figure 3a,b shows that both experimental and simulation data agree with the modified model. However, we do note that in the simulations at the lowest thickness, \( h = 10 \), the modified model does not fully capture the decrease in the toughness. Additionally, for the simulations, the model shows good agreement with varying the simulation temperature at \( N = 250 \).

The model provides an opportunity to decouple the influence of mobility and entanglements on the failure properties of ultrathin films. We calculate the relative contribution of the change in mobility, \( \frac{\Delta T_g(h)}{\Delta Z_{eq}(h)} \), versus the change in entanglements, \( \frac{\Delta Z_{eq}(h)}{\Delta Z_{eq}(h)} \), on the maximum stress with decreasing film thickness to evaluate their respective impact on the failure properties. We note that the model for maximum stress and toughness have same functional form, and previous work has shown that these properties can be compared; therefore, we only evaluate the relative contribution for yield stress.\(^{13}\)

Before we discuss how mobility and entanglements individually contribute to yield stress and toughness, we consider how each affects the polymer chains as the chains undergo plastic deformation. We define the mobility contribution to plastic deformation as the amount of energy...
required to move a polymer segment. Specifically, a polymer chain at a temperature below glass-transition temperature requires more energy to move a polymer segment compared to temperatures approaching the glass-transition temperature. In the thin film case, as film thickness decreases, the average polymer mobility increases; thus, the energy to move a polymer segment decreases. We define the entanglement contribution to plastic deformation as the amount of energy required to break or disentangle an entanglement between neighboring chains. This comes into play below a critical molecular-weight threshold, where the polymer chains have a small number of entanglements per chain, and the chains feel a larger force per entanglement and therefore require less energy to break or disentangle the chain. In the thin-film case, as film thickness decreases, the number of entanglements per chain decreases as a polymer film becomes confined, and accordingly, less energy is required to break a polymer chain in thinner films.

To decouple the role of mobility and entanglements on the energy to plastically deform ultrathin films, we examine the relative contribution of each for five molecular weights (Figure 4). For an infinitely high molecular weight as film thickness decreases, the entanglement contribution to plastic deformation as the amount of energy required to break or disentangle an entanglement between neighboring chains. This comes into play below a critical molecular-weight threshold, where the polymer chains have a small number of entanglements per chain, and the chains feel a larger force per entanglement and therefore require less energy to break or disentangle the chain. In the thin-film case, as film thickness decreases, the number of entanglements per chain decreases as a polymer film becomes confined, and accordingly, less energy is required to break a polymer chain in thinner films.

To decouple the role of mobility and entanglements on the energy to plastically deform ultrathin films, we examine the relative contribution of each for five molecular weights (Figure 4). For an infinitely high molecular weight as film thickness decreases, the entanglement contribution to plastic deformation as the amount of energy required to break or disentangle an entanglement between neighboring chains. This comes into play below a critical molecular-weight threshold, where the polymer chains have a small number of entanglements per chain, and the chains feel a larger force per entanglement and therefore require less energy to break or disentangle the chain. In the thin-film case, as film thickness decreases, the number of entanglements per chain decreases as a polymer film becomes confined, and accordingly, less energy is required to break a polymer chain in thinner films.

For a wide range of applications. Nonetheless, the insight provided by the model introduced here provides an important foundation for understanding the structure–property relationships that dictate the failure response of glassy polymer films.

**Summary.** In summary, using a combination of experiments and simulations, we measure how failure properties of glass polymer films change with molecular weight and film thickness. As film thickness decreases, we observe a molecular-weight-dependent decrease in maximum stress and toughness in both experiments and simulations, respectively. We systematically decouple the effect of the entanglements and mobility on the failure properties and find that both need to be accounted for when to be considered when designing the mechanically robust ultrathin glassy polymer films. To this end, we developed a model that can be used to determine the approximate molecular weight and thickness required to
fabricate mechanically strong ultrathin glassy polymer film for advanced polymeric applications.

**EXPERIMENTAL METHOD**

**Materials.** PS of seven different molecular weights were used: 61.9k PS (Polymer Source, number-average molecular weight, $M_n = 61.9$ kDa, PDI = 1.02), 98k PS (Scientific Polymer Products, $M_n = 98$ kDa, PDI = 1.04), 127k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 127$ kDa, PDI = 1.04), 233k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 235$ kg mol$^{-1}$, PDI = 1.07), 322k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 322$ kDa, PDI = 1.07), 553k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 553$ kDa, PDI = 1.07), 876k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 876$ kDa, PDI = 1.15), and 2136k PS (Polymer Source, $M_n = 2136$ kDa, PDI = 1.15). The 876k PS and 2136k PS were measured by light scattering. All other molecular weights were measured by gel permeation chromatography. The $R_g$ and average number of entanglements per chain ($M_e/M_c$) are provided in Table S1.

**Sample Preparation.** Thin-Film Fabrication. Toluene solutions of PS (0.2 to 2.5 wt %) were spun-cast (2000 to 4000 rpm) onto freshly cleaved mica sheet to fabricate the films with thicknesses from 10 to 150 nm.

**Annealing Conditions.** The films were annealed above the glass-transition temperature of PS and longer than the repletion time. Annealing conditions are listed in Table S2.

**Laser Cutting.** The films were laser-cut (Universal Laser System VSL3.5) at 3% power, 40% speed, and a setting of 800 PPI.

**INSTRUMENTATION**

**TUTTUT.** In brief, TUTTUT consists of a ‘dog-bone’-shaped film held between a reflective flexible cantilever and a movable rigid boundary on the liquid support layer of water. As the rigid boundary translates at a fixed velocity, the film stretches at a fixed strain rate and the cantilever deflects. The cantilever deflections are calibrated for force and displacement. Using the film geometry, we calculated the stress-strain response from the measured force displacement. We used dark-field optical microscopy to observe the deformation mechanisms in situ. We chose dark-field optical microscopy as it only images scattered light from the sample. Light scatters prominently from regions of the film with abrupt changes in optical density, which includes both the edges of the film and crazes and SDZs.

**Loading Film into TUTTUT.** Two methods were utilized to load the films into TUTTUT. For all molecular weights of the 150 nm thick and 60 nm thick PS films, the same method was used as in previous work. For the 30 nm and thinner 876 and 553 kDa PS, we changed the loading protocol due to folds forming in the gauge regime during the dropping of the clamp. For the second method, the films are floated off the mica substrate onto a water reservoir. The water level was lowered, and the grip section of the “dog-bone” was positioned into contact with a glass clamp coated with a PS film to promote adhesion between the “dog-bone” film and the clamp. The glass clamp was rigidly attached to the reservoir. The “dog-bone” was aligned with an extension piece attached to a cantilever, and the reservoir was raised to bring the other grip section of the “dog-bone” into contact with the extension piece.

**Sample Geometry.** For the first loading method, we utilized the same “dog-bone” geometry as chapter 2 where the gauge width was 3.1 mm. We measured the length between the grips ($L_g$) to calculate the gauge length, $L_g$ using eq S1. For the second loading method, we changed the film geometry at the grip section. The new geometry is shown in Figure S1. The gauge width was 3.1 mm, and we measured the $L_g$ and calculated $L_g$ by $L_g = (L_t - 17.5)^{0.96} + 16.4$.

In both methods, after the film was loaded into TUTTUT, the extra side material was picked up onto a silicon wafer for a thickness measurement. We measured the thickness of each PS film with ellipsometry (PS index of refraction, $n = 1.59$) and calculated the average from eight different locations on the same film.

**Testing Conditions.** The liquid in the reservoir was water. The length of the cantilever was changed to where the stiffness of the cantilever was three times the approximate stiffness of the film, which was calculated with eq S1. The stage velocity was fixed at 100 μm/s. Therefore, the 150 and 60 nm thick PS films were stretched at a strain rate between $6.1 \times 10^{-3}$ and $6.9 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, and 30 nm and thinner films were stretched at a strain rate between $5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ and $6.1 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ depending on the $L_g$ of the film. The average temperature during the test was $21^\circ$C.

**Simulation Method.** In the MD simulations, a modified version of the bead-spring Kremer-Grest (KG) model was shown where non-bonded interactions among monomers are taken through the LJ potential

$$U_{\eta}^{nb} = 4\varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{6}$$

for $r < r_{cut} = 2.5\sigma$. All the units are made dimensionless using the potential strength, $\varepsilon$, the monomer size, $\sigma$, and the unit time $\tau = \sigma (m/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$, where $m$ is the monomer mass. The bonded interactions between two connected monomers are governed by a finitely extensible nonlinear elastic potential with $K = 30\varepsilon/\sigma^3$ and $R_g = 1.5\sigma$. This bond type does not allow bond breaking during the uniaxial deformation process. We additionally add an angular harmonic potential

$$U_{\theta} = \frac{K_\theta}{2} (\theta - \theta_0)^2$$

where $K_\theta = 10\varepsilon$/radian$^2$ is the strength of this interaction and $\theta_0 = 120^\circ$ is the equilibrium bond angle. The angular potential is introduced to increase the average number of entanglements per chain without having very long polymer chain lengths, and the resulting average number of monomers between the entanglements is $\langle N_e \rangle \approx 16$. The number of monomers per chain in our simulations is $N = 60$, or 250, with $N/N_e = 3.75$ and 15.9, respectively. The polymer free-standing films with thickness of $H = 10\sigma$, $20\sigma$ and $30\sigma$ were generated following the procedures from our previous study, but in this study, only homopolymer systems were considered. Once the free-standing films were constructed, three independent configurations of the films for each system were generated at high temperatures, and those polymer films were cooled from $T = 1.0 \left( \frac{T}{T_{g,bulk}} \right) \approx 1.67$ to the target temperatures below $T_{g,bulk} \approx 0.598$ with a cooling rate of $\Delta T/\Delta t = 0.1$ per 2000τ to generate the glassy polymer thin films. Subsequently, each film was uniaxially deformed under a constant temperature at a constant true rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ in the $x$-direction. Due to the extended simulation box in the $z$-direction, the samples were effective at constant pressure and can change volume during deformation by contracting in the $z$-direction. All the simulations are performed using LAMMPS MD simulation package with the velocity Verlet algorithm under an NVT
ensemble, and periodic boundary conditions were maintained in the plane of the film (x and y-directions) during all the simulations.58
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